

Minutes of Meeting of Purchase Committee of JREDA held on 15.06.2013 at JREDA office for evaluation of Technical bid against NIB No. **02/JREDA/SPV/SL(CFL)/2013-14** for Manufacturing, Testing, & Supply of Indigenous CFL based Solar Lanterns under Solar Photovoltaic Programme for financial year 2012-13 for Jharkhand Renewable Energy Development Agency (JREDA).

Member Present:

1. Shri V.S. Gaur, Director, JREDA
2. Shri K.K. Verma, CE, Energy Department
3. Shri M.M.P. Tripathi, Under Secretary, Energy Department
4. Shri Rajendra Prasad, Project Manager, Directorate of Industry
5. Shri Ramesh Prasad, Under Secretary, Finance Department
6. Shri A.K.B. Prasad, EEE, Energy Department.

Purchase committee meeting of JREDA held on 15.06.2013 at JREDA office for evaluation of Technical bid against NIT No. 02/JREDA/SPV/SL(CFL)/ 2013-14. Comparative Statement prepared by Tender Committee of JREDA and its recommendations were perused by the purchase committee members.

On the basis of documents submitted by bidders and the Comparative Statement of Technical bid Part-I prepared by Tender Committee of JREDA, the purchase committee has examined the document submitted by all the bidders and a statement has been prepared for all the bids and is enclosed. On the basis of it, the views of Purchase Committee of JREDA on their Technical evaluation are as follows:-

1. **M/s Sunrise Technology, Gandhinagar, Gujarat** :- The bidder has quoted for 4500 Nos. Solar Lantern & submitted the equivalent amount of Bank guarantee for quoted quantity. Purchase committee observed that the bidder has submitted work order on page no. 98 to 249 but bidder has not submitted any performance certificate with respect to their work order. Therefore it is concluded the bidder does not have the required satisfactory work experience. Hence it is recommended that the bidder is **not qualified** for the opening of Price Bid (Part-II)
2. **M/s Su Solartech Pvt. Ltd., Chandigarh** :- The bidder has quoted for supply of 4500 Nos. Solar Lantern & submitted the equivalent amount of Bank guarantee for quoted quantity. Purchase committee observed that the bidder has submitted work order on page no. 27-28 but their Corresponding performance certificate has not been submitted. The bidder has submitted performance certificate issued from different department on page no. 29 for which work order has not been submitted. Therefore it is concluded the bidder does not have the required satisfactory work experience. Hence it is recommended that the bidder is **not qualified** for the opening of Price Bid (Part-II).

15/6/13
[Handwritten signatures and initials in blue ink]

